IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

Civil Action No. 3:05-CV-133

DENNIS H. HARLOW,

v.

Plaintiff,

JO ANNE B. BARNHART, COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION DENYING MOTION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

I. Facts

Plaintiff, Dennis H. Harlow, (Claimant), filed his Complaint on December 13, 2005, seeking Judicial review pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) of an adverse decision by Defendant, Commissioner of Social Security, (Commissioner). On the same date, Claimant filed a Petition to proceed *in forma pauperis* along with a Financial Affidavit. Claimant states that his ex-wife and his children are dependent on him for support. In addition, Claimant states that he has been unemployed since May 4, 2004. Claimant also states that he has received a total of \$34,400 in workers' compensation. Furthermore, Claimant reports that he has \$100 in his bank account. Concerning property, the Claimant states that he is in possession of two vehicles, one acre of land and a mobile home.

II. Standards

² Docket Nos. 2 and 3.

¹ Docket No. 1.

- 1. <u>Social Security IFP Statute</u>. "Any court of the United States may authorize the commencement, prosecution, or defense of any suit, action or proceeding, civil or criminal, or appeal therein, without prepayment of fees or security therefor, by any person who submits an affidavit that includes a statement of all assets such person possesses that the person is unable to pay such fees or give security therefor. Such affidavit shall state the nature of the action, defense or appeal and affiant's belief that the person is entitled to redress." 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1).
- 2. <u>Social Security IFP Duty of Court</u>. If IFP is granted, the officers of the court shall issue and serve all process. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d).
- 3. <u>Social Security IFP Sufficiency of Affidavit</u>. An affidavit is sufficient where it states that because of his poverty, the affiant cannot meet court costs and "still be able to 'provide himself and his dependents with the necessities of life.'" <u>Rowland v. California Men's Colony</u>, 506 U.S. 194, 203 (1993) (quoting <u>Adkins v. E.I. DuPont de Nemours & Co.</u>, 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948)). However, the affiant need not show that he is "absolutely destitute" to qualify for IFP status. <u>Adkins</u>, 335 U.S. at 340. In addition, the facts as to the affiant's poverty must be stated "with some particularity, definiteness, and certainty." <u>United States v. McQuade</u>, 647 F.2d 938, 940 (9th Cir. 1981). Lastly, the district court has the discretion to make a factual inquiry into the affiant's financial status and to deny a request to proceed IFP when the party is "unable, or unwilling, to verify his poverty." <u>Id</u>.

III. Discussion

The Claimant's Petition to proceed *in forma pauperis* was on a form provided by the Clerk of the Northern District of West Virginia. The form was completely and properly filled out and permitted the undersigned to analyze the Claimant's current financial condition. According to his

Petition, Claimant receives a monthly income from workers' compensation, which he "will continue

to receive until w/c stops payments." The undersigned finds the Claimant has not sufficiently

demonstrated that he is financially unable to pay the filing fee in his Social Security appeal.

IV. Decision

I recommend that Claimant's application to proceed in forma pauperis be DENIED.

Any party may, within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of this Order, file with

the Clerk of the Court written objections identifying the portions of the Order to which objection is

made, and the basis for such objection. A copy of such objections should also be submitted to the

District Court Judge of Record. Failure to timely file objections to the Order set forth above will

result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based upon such Order.

Filing of objections does not stay this Order.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to mail a copy of this Order to parties who appear pro se

and any counsel of record, as applicable.

DATED: February 15, 2006

/s/ James E. Seibert

JAMES E. SEIBERT

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

3